Improving Retail Service Performance in Tough Times: A Focus on the Critical Success Factors in Italy

Francesco Massara (corresponding author)

Department of Business, Law, Economics and Consumer Behaviour

, Università IULM, Milan, Italy

francesco.massara@iulm.it

Gioele Zamparo

Department of Economics and Statistics University of Udine, Udine, Italy gioele.zamparo@uniud.it

Michela Cesarina Mason

Department of Economics and Statistics University of Udine, Udine, Italy michela.mason@uniud.it

Keywords

Retail, Critical Success Factors, Frontline Employees, HOT Fit model, Monobrand Stores

Introduction

The pandemic and resulting digital pressures have put tremendous pressure on stores and their employees, leading to critical situations for retail managers. The objective of this study is to analyze the Critical Success Factors (CSFs) that have enabled retail organizations to survive and thrive during the pandemic. The concept of CSFs was first introduced by Daniel (1961) and later refined and discussed in detail by Rockart (1979). However, to date, few studies have examined CFS in the context of retail (Iamratanakul, 2019). According to Rockart, CSFs are the key variables that must be managed appropriately for the organization to survive. As the pandemic has reshaped the retail context, it is useful to apply such a model to see which factors have contributed most to the successful survival and rebirth of retail organizations, as these factors are likely to form the basis for development in the years to come.

Purpose

We propose and test a theoretical model that analyzes multiple CSFs focusing on the factors influencing service performance. Following the literature on the Human-Organization-Technology-Fit model (HOT) (Yusof et al., 2008), we divide these CSFs into three dimensions: human factors (Nadeem et al., 2019; Liu and Lin, 021), technological factors (Ray et al., 2005), and organizational factors (Gillet et al., 2013a; 2013b; Rita et al., 2018) and relate them to service performance.

Conceptual frame work

In recent years, the service literature analyzing the friction between human factors and technological factors has seen an upsurge (e.g., Lee, 2017; Amelia et al., 2021; Riegger et al.,

2021). Therefore, retail organizations need to properly manage these two fundamental variables to achieve service excellence and ensure an optimal customer experience (Makarem et al., 2009; Verhoef et al., 2009; Mason et al., 2022). For retail organizations, the human factor is the frontline staff or frontline employees (FLEs). Their peculiar characteristics such as flexibility, resilience, and initiative (Sok et al., 2021), add a human touch to service and improve the customer experience in the store. On the other hand, there are in-store technologies (Grewal et al., 2020). These can enhance FLEs' capabilities (e.g., CRM systems), but on the other hand, they can also diminish their role in certain stages of the customer journey, such as knowledge acquisition (Hochstein et al., 2021). Therefore, behaviors of FLEs that support technological innovation in retail are critical, as FLEs today need to engage with technologies to improve the customer experience (Siartri 2018).

Although several authors have recently highlighted technology as key to retail success (e.g., Moorhouse et al., 2018), this alone does not guarantee service delivery. The human touch still appears to be critical in retail service delivery. FLEs are a source of unique relational and emotional value for customers that automated technologies cannot provide (Solnet et al., 2019). FLEs can adapt to changing customer needs (Rego et al., 2014) and provide a unique service experience. FLEs can also transfer brand personality to customers (Sirianni et al., 2013), and consumers can identify with them and build strong relationships (Coelho et al., 2011). In other words, FLEs enhance the service experience (Ottenbacher & Harrington, 2009), provide excellent customer experiences, and strengthen long-term customer relationships (Delcourt et al., 2013).

In addition to human and technological factors, the literature also highlights the role of organizational factors in service performance (Cullen et al. 2014), particularly motivation, which in turn is related to job satisfaction (Chung et al. 2012).

Based on the above discussion, CFS can provide the framework for examining retail success factors in a critical situation such as a pandemic. As mentioned earlier, this study is based on the framework of HOT and conceptualizes employee performance as a function of three CSFs: human, organizational, and technological factors. A previous qualitative study (Massara et al., 2021) highlighted that human and technological factors are the critical success factors that improve retail performance by improving employee-customer relationships (Greve and Albers, 2006), while organizational factors are the critical success factors that improve retail performance by motivating employees (Darolia et al., 2010). This paper integrates CSF-based research (Massara et al., 2021) and empirically tests the HOT -fit model (Yusof et al., 2008) to predict retail success and development using a quantitative methodology. Figure 1 shows the theoretical model.



Figure 1: Proposed theoretical framework

Methodology

The data were collected with the support of several retail companies. In particular, we focused on monobrand retailers, which include fashion, accessories, sports, beauty, jewelry, consumer electronics, media, entertainment, furniture design, automobiles, and other types of standalone brand stores found in city centers, outlet villages, shopping malls, etc. that in terms of retail represent the frontier of brand experience (Shahid et al. 2022). We focused on monobrand retailers because, among distributors, they were among the most heavily impacted by the pandemic from a financial perspective and were exposed to the digital acceleration that followed. The companies (5 retail brands and 5 outlet villages hosting 120 retail brands) that participated in our research distributed the questionnaire in their stores and asked their employees to participate in a research initiative. The anonymous questionnaire was developed after a thorough literature review and consisted of two parts. The first part examined the sociodemographic profile of the respondents. The second part consisted of 10 scales with response options on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). The scales used were 1. Service Performance (SP, adapted from Hewagama et al., 2019), 2. Job Satisfaction (JS, adapted from Macdonald and MacIntyre, 1997) 3. Employee-Costumer Rapport (ECR, adapted from Delcourt et al., 2013), 4. Employee Motivation (MOT, adapted from Gagné et al., 2010), 5. Perceived Organization Support (POS, adapted from Eisenberger et al., 2001.), 6. Identification with the Organization (IO, adapted from Mael and Ashforth, 1992), 7. Employee Resilience (ERES, adapted from Näswall et al., 2019), 8. Employee Flexibility (FLEX, adapted from Bhattachary et al., 2005), 9. Availability of CRM Tools (CRM, adapted from Sin et al., 2005), and 10. Availability of Omnichannel Tools (AOT, created by the authors). A total of 901 questionnaires were collected from September

2021 to May 2022. Incomplete questionnaires and respondents who failed the attention test were eliminated, leaving a usable sample of 684 completed questionnaires (70.9% female, mean age = 32.25). Smart PLS 3.3.7 was used to estimate the proposed theoretical model. Previously, we tested the validity of the measurement model (Hair et al., 2021). First, we evaluated the factor loadings. Each loading exceeded the threshold of 0.70. Second, we assessed internal consistency by examining composite reliability scores (CR). Again, all constructs exceeded the commonly used threshold of 0.70. Third, we examined convergent validity: All AVE values were above 0.50 for each construct, confirming that a sufficient amount of variance is shared between constructs and indicators. Finally, the heterotrait-monotrait ratio of correlations (HTMT) method was used to determine discriminant validity (Henseler et al., 2015). In our case, all HTMT values met the proposed criteria of > 0.85, except for the HTMT value between MOT and JS (Table 1).

Table 1: Discriminant validity and correlations among constructs

Construct	AVE	CR	SP	JS	ECR	MOT	POS	IO	ERES	FLEX	CRM	OT
SP	0,62	0,83	-	0,48	0,45	0,52	0,33	0,29	0,50	0,53	0,27	0,20
JS	0,75	0,90	0,54	-	0,38	0,79	0,65	0,47	0,34	0,26	0,33	0,17
ECR	0,66	0,85	0,60	0,47	-	0,50	0,30	0,36	0,42	0,30	0,31	0,29
MOT	0,67	0,89	0,60	0,93	0,63	-	0,61	0,55	0,42	0,32	0,37	0,17
POS	0,70	0,90	0,39	0,76	0,38	0,72	-	0,60	0,29	0,21	0,48	0,23
IO	0,79	0,88	0,35	0,59	0,48	0,69	0,74	-	0,27	0,24	0,39	0,20
ERES	0,73	0,89	0,65	0,40	0,54	0,51	0,34	0,34	-	0,52	0,22	0,21
FLEX	0,71	0,88	0,73	0,31	0,38	0,39	0,26	0,31	0,64	-	0,21	0,18
CRM	0,54	0,77	0,36	0,47	0,45	0,53	0,67	0,58	0,30	0,28	-	0,39
AOT	0,52	0,76	0,30	0,26	0,41	0,36	0,36	0,32	0,31	0,25	0,66	-

Note: HTMT values below the diagonal, correlations among constructs above the diagonal.

	Path Coe	95% Confidence Intervals			
Path	Estimate	T-value	p-value	2,5%	97,5%
$CRM \rightarrow ECR$	0,17	4,72	< 0,01	0,10	0,25
$AOT \rightarrow ECR$	0,14	3,20	< 0,05	0,04	0,23
$ERES \rightarrow ECR$	0,32	7,58	< 0,001	0,24	0,40
$FLEX \rightarrow ECR$	0,07	1,66	= 0,10	-0,02	0,17
$IO \rightarrow MOT$	0,28	5,66	< 0,001	0,18	0,37
$POS \rightarrow MOT$	0,44	9,08	< 0,001	0,35	0,54
$MOT \rightarrow JS$	0,81	38,14	< 0,001	0,76	0,85
$JS \rightarrow SP$	0,36	9,15	< 0,001	0,28	0,44
$ECR \rightarrow SP$	0,32	9,30	< 0,001	0,24	0,38

 Table 2: Estimated model

The detailed results of the structural model are shown in Table 2. The direct effects of external CRM (Coeff.: 0.17; p. < 0.01), AOT (Coeff.: 0.14; p. < 0.05), and ERES (Coeff.: 0.32; p. < 0.001) on ERC were positive and statistically significant. In contrast, the relationship between FLEX and ECR (Coeff.: 0.07; p. = 0.10) was not significant. The model showed a positive and significant effect on MOT for both POS (Coeff.: 0.44; p. < 0.001) and IO (Coeff.: 0.28; p. < 0.001), and the latter factor had a positive and significant effect on JS (Coeff.: 0.81; p. < 0.001). Finally, both JS (Coeff.: 0.36; p. < 0.001) and ECR (Coeff.: 0.32; p. < 0.001) had a positive and statistically significant effect on JP. Figure 2 shows the estimated model.

Figure 2: The estimated model



Findings

In short, our findings confirm the validity of the HOT fit model as a proxy of service performance, and therefore of success in difficult times. The results suggest that retail organizations need to balance human, organizational, and technological factors to improve service performance, and that technology should be used integratively, not just substitutively, with frontline employees. In particular, human and technological factors are critical to improving the relationship between employees and customers and thus exert an indirect influence on service performance. Organizational factors, in turn, appear to be of paramount importance in contributing to service performance with the mediation of motivation and job satisfaction.

Contributions

To our knowledge, this is the first study to apply the CSF framework in retail to examine the key variables that ensure business survival in difficult times. The results confirmed most of the relationships postulated by the HOT fit model. Of the two human factors studied, employee resilience had a positive impact on the retail staff's perceived relationship with customers. The model confirmed that resilience is an essential soft skill in difficult times such as the pandemic (Aguiar-Quintana et al., 2021). Second, technological factors were positively related to the relationship between employees and customers: For example, technology can serve as an important touch point for consumers and improve service performance through customer-employee interaction (Grewal et al., 2020). Third, organizational factors have been strongly associated with employee motivation. This confirms the importance of employee identification and perceived organizational support for employee motivation (Gillet et al., 2013a; 2013b; Rita et al., 2018), which leads to higher job satisfaction and service performance.

Practical implications

Several practical implications arise from this research. Retailers should view technology as a tool to facilitate service interactions, not as an element that can replace human presence. This is because of the potential synergies between the human factor and technology and the stronger effect that the former exert respect to the latter on employee-customer rapport. The human factor is a source of unique relational and emotional value that can build trust and loyalty with customers. The technological factor is an element that can make interactions with consumers more fluid, accurate, satisfying and enjoyable. Keeping this in mind is also paramount for retail organizations to address the obvious frictions between the two elements under consideration (e.g., employees' fear of being replaced or their inability to use technology adoption), companies can enhance the potential synergies between human and technological factors while reducing potential frictions and achieving higher levels of employee motivation and service performance.

Research limitations and outlook

This study has some limitations. First, the sample included participants from a single country and monobrand stores; perhaps a more diverse sample could provide additional insights. Second, this study is based on self-report questionnaires, which may introduce some bias. Addressing these issues could provide additional insights into the interactions between human, organizational, and technical factors.

References

- Aguiar-Quintana, T., Nguyen, T. H. H., Araujo-Cabrera, Y., & Sanabria-Díaz, J. M. (2021),
 "Do job insecurity, anxiety and depression caused by the COVID-19 pandemic influence hotel employees' self-rated task performance? The moderating role of employee resilience", *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol. 94, 102868.
- Amelia, A., Mathies, C., & Patterson, P. G. (2021), "Customer acceptance of frontline service robots in retail banking: A qualitative approach", *Journal of Service Management*, Vol. 33 No. 2, pp. 321-341.
- Chung, T.L., Rutherford, B. and Park, J. (2012) 'Understanding multifaceted job satisfaction of retail employees', International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management, Vol. 40, No. 9, pp.699–716.
- Coelho, F., Augusto, M., & Lages, L. F. (2011), "Contextual factors and the creativity of frontline employees: The mediating effects of role stress and intrinsic motivation", *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 87 No. 1, 31–45.
- Cullen, K. L., Edwards, B. D., Casper, W., & Gue, K. R. (2014). Employees' adaptability and perceptions of change-related uncertainty: Implications for perceived organizational support, job satisfaction, and performance. Journal of Business and Psychology, 29(2), 269-280.
- Daniel, D. R. (1961), Management information crisis, Harvard business review, pp. 111-121.
- Darolia, C.R., Kumari, P. & Darolia, S. (2010), "Perceived organisational support, work motivation, and organisational commitment as determinants of job performance", Journal of the Indian Academy of Applied Psychology, Vol. 36 No. 1, pp. 69-78.
- Delcourt, C., Gremler, DD, van Riel, A.C.R. & van Birgelen, M. (2013), "Effects of perceived employee emotional competence on customer satisfaction and loyalty: The mediating role of rapport", *Journal of Service Management*, Vol. 24 No. 1, pp. 5-24.
- Eisenberger, R., Armeli, S., Rexwinkel, B., Lynch, P. D., & Rhoades, L. (2001), "Reciprocation of perceived organisational support", *Journal of applied psychology*, Vol. 86 No. 1, pp. 42-51.
- Gagné, M., Forest, J., Gilbert, M. H., Aubé, C., Morin, E., & Malorni, A. (2010), "The motivation at work scale: Validation evidence in two languages" *Educational and psychological measurement*, Vol. 70 No. 4, pp. 628-646.
- Gillet, N., Gagné, M., Sauvagère, S., & Fouquereau, E. (2013a), "The role of supervisor autonomy support, organisational support, and autonomous and controlled motivation in predicting employees' satisfaction and turnover intentions", *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, Vol. 22 No. 4, pp. 450-460.
- Gillet, N., Huart, I., Colombat, P., & Fouquereau, E. (2013b), "Perceived organisational support, motivation, and engagement among police officers", *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, Vol. 44 No. 1, pp. 46-55.
- Greve, G., & Albers, S. (2006), "Determinants of performance in customer relationship management-Assessing the technology usage-Performance link", In *Proceedings of the* 39th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS'06)
- Grewal, D., Noble, S. M., Roggeveen, A. L., & Nordfalt, J. (2020), "The future of in-store technology", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 48 No. 1, pp. 96-113.

- Hair Jr, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2021), A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM), Sage publications.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015), "A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling", *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, Vol. 43 No. 1, pp. 115-135.
- Hewagama, G., Boxall, P., Cheung, G., & Hutchison, A. (2019), "Service recovery through empowerment? HRM, employee performance and job satisfaction in hotels", *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol. 81, pp. 73-82.
- Hochstein, B., Bolander, W., Christenson, B., Pratt, A. B., & Reynolds, K. (2021), "An Investigation of Consumer Subjective Knowledge in Frontline Interactions", *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 97 No. 3, pp. 336-346.
- Iamratanakul, S. (2019), "Modeling of Critical Success Factors of Thai Retailers: Interpretive Structural Modeling Approach", In *Asia-Pacific Contemporary Finance and Development*. Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Lee, H. J. (2017), "Personality determinants of need for interaction with a retail employee and its impact on self-service technology (SST) usage intentions", *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*, Vol. 11 No. 3, pp. 214-231.
- Liu, N. C., & Lin, Y. T. (2021), "High-performance work systems, management team flexibility, employee flexibility and service-oriented organisational citizenship behaviors", *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, Vol. 32 No. 18, pp. 3912-3949.
- Macdonald, S., & MacIntyre, P. (1997), "The generic job satisfaction scale: Scale development and its correlates", *Employee Assistance Quarterly*, Vol. 13 No. 2, pp. 1-16.
- Mael, F., & Ashforth, B. E. (1992), "Alumni and their alma mater: A partial test of the reformulated model of organisational identification", *Journal of organisational Behavior*, Vol. 13 No. 2, pp. 103-123.
- Makarem, S. C., Mudambi, S. M., & Podoshen, J. S. (2009), "Satisfaction in technologyenabled service encounters", *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 23 No. 3, pp. 134– 144.
- Mason, M. C., Massara, F., & Raggiotto, F. (2022), "An analysis of the relationships between human, technological and physical factors in the retail banking sector", *Italian Journal* of Marketing, pp. 1-18.
- Massara. F., Mason M.C., & Zamparo, G. (2021), "Turning Challenges into Opportunities During the Covid-19 Pandemic: Fieldnotes From "People in Retail", *In Colloquium on European Research in Retailing 2021*.
- Moorhouse, N., tom Dieck, M.C. & Jung, T. (2018), "Technological Innovations Transforming the Consumer Retail Experience: A Review of Literature", Augmented Reality and Virtual Reality: Empowering Human, Place and Business, in T. Jung and M.C. tom Dieck (eds). Cham: Springer International Publishing, pp. 133–143.
- Nadeem, K., Riaz, A., & Danish, R. Q. (2019), "Influence of high-performance work system on employee service performance and OCB: the mediating role of resilience" *Journal* of *Global Entrepreneurship Research*, Vol. 9 No. 1, pp. 1-13.

- Näswall, K., Malinen, S., Kuntz, J., & Hodliffe, M. (2019), "Employee resilience: Development and validation of a measure", *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, Vol. 34 No. 5, pp. 353-367.
- Ottenbacher, M. C., & Harrington, R. J. (2009), "The product innovation process of quickservice restaurant chains", *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, Vol. 21 No. 5, pp. 523–541.
- Ray, G., Muhanna, W. A., & Barney, J. B. (2005), "Information technology and the performance of the customer service process: A resource-based analysis", *MIS quarterly*, Vol. 29 No- 4, pp. 625-652.
- Rego, A., Sousa, F., Marques, C., & Pina e Cunha, M. (2014), "Hope and positive affect mediating the authentic leadership and creativity relationship", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 67 No. 2, pp. 200–210.
- Riegger, A. S., Klein, J. F., Merfeld, K., & Henkel, S. (2021), "Technology-enabled personalisation in retail stores: Understanding drivers and barriers", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 123, pp. 140-155.
- Rita, M., Randa Payangan, O., Rante, Y., Tuhumena, R. & Erari, A. (2018), "Moderating effect of organisational citizenship behavior on the effect of organisational commitment, transformational leadership and work motivation on employee performance", *International Journal of Law and Management*, Vol. 60 No. 4, pp. 953-964.
- Rockart, J. F. (1979), "Chief executives define their own data needs", *Harvard business review*, Vol. 57 No. 2, pp. 81-93.
- Sin, L.Y.M., Tse, A.C.B. & Yim, F.H.K. (2005), "CRM: conceptualisation and scale development", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 39 No. 11/12, pp. 1264-1290.
- Sirianni, N. J., Bitner, M. J., Brown, S. W., & Mandel, N. (2013), "Branded service encounters: Strategically aligning employee behavior with the brand positioning" *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 77 No. 6, pp. 108–123.
- Shahid, S., Paul, J., Gilal, F. G., & Ansari, S. (2022), "The role of sensory marketing and brand experience in building emotional attachment and brand loyalty in luxury retail stores", *Psychology & Marketing*, Vol. 39 No. 7, pp. 1398-1412.
- Sok, P., Danaher, T. S., & Sok, K. M. (2021), "Matching the personal initiative capabilities of FLEs to their self-regulatory processes and the firm's initiative climate", *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 97 No. 3, pp. 319-335.
- Solnet, D., Subramony, M., Ford, R. C., Golubovskaya, M., Kang, H. J., & Hancer, M. (2019), "Leveraging human touch in service interactions: Lessons from hospitality", *Journal of Service Management*, Vol. 30 No. 3, pp. 392-409.
- Verhoef, P. C., Lemon, K. N., Parasuraman, A., Roggeveen, A., Tsiros, M., & Schlesinger, L. A. (2009), "Customer experience creation: Determinants, dynamics and management strategies", *Journal of retailing*, Vol. 85 No. 1, pp. 31-41.
- Yusof, M. M., Kuljis, J., Papazafeiropoulou, A., & Stergioulas, L. K. (2008), "An evaluation framework for Health Information Systems: human, organisation and technology-fit factors (HOT-fit)", *International journal of medical informatics*, Vol. 77 No. 6, pp. 386-398.